arXiv:1211.1630v2 [math.GT] 19 Nov 2012

THE BOUNDARY OF THE FREE FACTOR GRAPH

URSULA HAMENSTÄDT

Abstract. Define a tree T in the boundary of Outer Space to be *arational* if T is indecomposable and if no measured lamination which annihilates T is supported in a free factor. We show that the Gromov boundary of the free factor graph can be identified with the space of arational trees equipped with a measure forgetful topology.

1. Introduction

A *free factor* in the free group F_n with n generators is a proper subgroup A of F_n so that F_n can be represented as a free product $F_n = A * B$ for some proper subgroup B of F_n.

The *free factor graph* is the graph $\mathcal{FF}$ whose vertices are conjugacy classes of free factors and where two free factors A, B are connected by an edge of length one if and only if up to conjugation, either $A < B$ or $B < A$. The free factor graph is hyperbolic in the sense of Gromov [BF11]. The outer automorphism group $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$ of F_n acts on $\mathcal{FF}$ as a group of simplicial isometries.

The goal of this note is to determine the Gromov boundary of the free factor graph.

Denote by ∂F_n the ideal boundary of F_n. This boundary is a compact F_n-space, and each element of F_n fixes precisely two points in ∂F_n. The set of all pairs of fixed points of all elements in a given conjugacy class is a locally finite F_n-invariant subset of the complement of the diagonal Δ in $\partial F_n \times \partial F_n$.

The space $\mathcal{ML}$ of *measured laminations* on F_n is the space of all locally finite F_n-invariant flip invariant Borel measures on the complement of the diagonal Δ in $\partial F_n \times \partial F_n$ which are limits in the weak*-topology of atomic measures whoses supports consist of all pairs of fixed points of all elements in some primitive conjugacy class. The projectivization $\mathcal{PML}$ of $\mathcal{ML}$ is a compact minimal $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$-space [KL07].

The Gromov boundary ∂A of a free factor $A < F_n$ is naturally an A-invariant compact subspace of ∂F_n. We say that a measured lamination μ *is supported in a free factor A of F_n* if the support $\mathrm{Supp}(\mu)$ of μ is contained in the F_n-orbit of $\partial A \times \partial A - \Delta \subset \partial F_n \times \partial F_n - \Delta$.

Date: November 18, 2012.
AMS subject classification: 20M34
Partially supported by ERC grant 10160104.

Let $cv(F_n)$ be the unprojectivized *Outer space* of minimal free simplicial F_n-trees, with its boundary $\partial cv(F_n)$ of all minimal *very small* F_n-trees [CL95, BF92]. Write $\overline{cv(F_n)} = cv(F_n) \cup \partial cv(F_n)$. There is a continuous intersection form $\langle , \rangle : \overline{cv(F_n)} \times \mathcal{ML} \to [0, \infty)$ [KL09]. If $T \in cv(F_n)$ is free simplicial then $\langle T, \mu \rangle > 0$ for all μ.

An action of F_n on an $\mathbb{R}$-tree T is called *indecomposable* if for any finite, non-degenerate arcs $I, J \subset T$, there are elements $g_1, \dots, g_r \in F_n$ so that

$$J \subset g_1 I \cup \dots \cup g_r I$$

and such that $g_i I \cap g_{i+1} I$ is non-degenerate for $i \leq r-1$ (Definition 1.17 of [G08]). By Lemma 1.18 of [G08], the F_n-orbits on an indecomposable tree are dense.

Denote by $\text{CV}(F_n)$ the projectivization of $cv(F_n)$, with its boundary $\partial\text{CV}(F_n)$. The union $\overline{\text{CV}(F_n)} = \text{CV}(F_n) \cup \partial\text{CV}(F_n)$ is a compact space. The *outer automorphism group* $\text{Out}(F_n)$ of F_n acts on $\overline{\text{CV}(F_n)}$ as a group of homeomorphisms preserving the boundary $\partial\text{CV}(F_n)$. An irreducible element of $\text{Out}(F_n)$ with irreducible powers fixes precisely two points in $\partial\text{CV}(F_n)$ [LL03].

Definition. A projective tree $[T] \in \partial\text{CV}(F_n)$ is *arational* if a representative T of $[T]$ has the following properties.

1. T is indecomposable.
2. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{ML}$ be any measured laminations with $\langle T, \mu \rangle = 0$. Then μ is not supported in a proper free factor of F_n.

The space $\mathcal{FT} \subset \partial\text{CV}(F_n)$ of arational trees is $\text{Out}(F_n)$-invariant, but it is not closed. It contains all fixed points of irreducible automorphisms of F_n with irreducible power.

If $T \in \overline{cv(F_n)}$ then denote by$[T] \in \overline{\text{CV}(F_n)}$ the projectivization of T. Let $\sim$ be the smallest equivalence relation on $\mathcal{FT}$ with the property that $[S] \sim [T]$ if there is a measured lamination $\mu \in \mathcal{ML}$ such that $\langle T, \mu \rangle = \langle S, \mu \rangle = 0$.

We equip $\mathcal{FT}/\sim$ with a measure forgetful topology. In this topology, a sequence $[T_i] \subset \mathcal{FT}/\sim$ converges to $[T] \in \mathcal{FT}/\sim$ if and only if the following holds true. Let $[\mu_i] \subset \mathcal{PML}$ be a sequence of projective measured laminations so that $\langle T_i, \mu_i \rangle = 0$ for all i. By passing to a subsequence, assume that $[\mu_i] \to [\mu] \in \mathcal{PML}$; then $\langle T, \mu \rangle = 0$. We refer to Section 6 for more details about this topology.

We show

Theorem. *The Gromov boundary $\partial\mathcal{FF}$ of the free factor graph is the space $\mathcal{FT}/\sim$ of equivalence classes of arational trees with the measure forgetful topology.*

The strategy of proof follows the strategy of Klarreich [K99] who determined the Gromov boundary of the curve graph of a non-exceptional surface of finite type. The main difficulty lies in showing that arational trees are analogous to arational measured laminations on a surface.

As in [K99], we begin with describing in Section 2 an electrification of Outer space which is quasi-isometric to the free factor graph. In Section 3 we establish some properties of folding paths in $cv(F_n)$ needed later on. Section 4 is devoted to a detailed analysis of trees in $\partial cv(F_n)$ on which F_n does not act with dense orbits. In Section 5 we investigate arbitrary F_n-trees in $\partial cv(F_n)$ which split as graphs of actions. The proof of the theorem is completed in Section 6.

Acknowledgement: I am grateful to Vincent Guirardel and Gilbert Levitt for useful discussions. In particular, I owe an argument used in the proof of Lemma 4.1 to Vincent Guirardel. Thanks to Karen Vogtmann for help with references. After this work was completed I learned that the results of this paper were a bit earlier obtained by Mladen Bestvina and Patrick Reynolds [BR12]. I am particularly indebted to Martin Lustig for pointing out an error in an earlier version of this note.

2. The no gap metric

The goal of this section is to a construct a geometric model for the free factor graph which is an $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$-invariant electrification of Outer space.

Denote by $cv_0(F_n)$ the $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$-invariant subspace of $cv(F_n)$ of all free simplicial F_n-trees with quotient of volume one. The restriction to $cv_0(F_n)$ of the canonical projection $cv(F_n) \to \mathrm{CV}(F_n)$ is a homeomorphism.

Fix a (large) number $k \geq 2$. For a tree $T \in cv_0(F_n)$ define a conjugacy class α in F_n to be *primitive short* if it is primitive and if it can be represented by a path of length at most k on T/F_n. Every $T \in cv_0(F_n)$ admits a primitive short conjugacy class (see [FM11]). Call two trees $T, T' \in cv_0(F_n)$ *tied* if there is a primitive conjugacy class α which is short for both T and T'.

For $T, T' \in cv_0(F_n)$ let $d_{ng}(T, T')$ be the minimum of all numbers $s \geq 0$ with the following property. There is a sequence $T = T_0, \dots, T_s = T' \subset cv_0(F_n)$ such that for all i the trees T_i, T_{i+1} are tied. The following is immediate from the definitions.

Lemma 2.1. *For sufficiently large k, d_{ng} is a metric on $cv_0(F_n)$.*

Let

$$\Upsilon : cv_0(F_n) \to \mathcal{FF}$$

be a map which associates to a simplicial tree T with volume one quotient the conjugacy class of a free factor of rank one spanned by a primitive short conjugacy class for T.

In the sequel we mean by a *rose* a marked metric rose with edges of equal length $1/n$. Each rose R determines the conjugacy class of a free basis of F_n. The universal covering T of R is the Cayley tree of this basis and is contained in $cv_0(F_n)$. If $F_n = A * B$ is a free splitting of F_n we say that a rose R *represents* the splitting if R defines a basis $a_1, \dots, a_n$ of F_n so that for $k = \mathrm{rk}(A)$, the set $a_1, \dots, a_k$ is a basis of A and $a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n$ is a basis of B. We have

Proposition 2.2. *The map* $\Upsilon : (cv_0(F_n), d_{ng}) \to \mathcal{FF}$ *is a quasi-isometry.*

Proof. Let $T \in cv_0(F_n)$ and let α be a primitive short conjugacy class for T. Since the volume of T/F_n is one and since T/F_n has at most $3g-3$ edges, there is an edge e of length at least $1/(3g-3)$. By assumption, the conjugacy class α can be represented by a loop γ on T/F_n of length at most k and hence γ passes through e at most $k(3g+3)$ times. By Lemma 3.2 of [BF11], this implies that the distance in $\mathcal{FF}$ between the conjugacy class $\langle\alpha\rangle$ of the free factor spanned by α and the conjugacy class of a free factor spanned by any other primitive short conjugacy class for T is bounded by a number only depending on k. In particular, the distance between $\langle\alpha\rangle$ and $\Upsilon(T)$ is uniformly bounded.

As a consequence, if $T' \in cv_0(F_n)$ is such that α is primitive short for T' then the distance between $\Upsilon(T)$ and $\Upsilon(T')$ is uniformly bounded. This shows that the map Υ is coarsely Lipschitz with respect to the metric d_{ng} on $cv_0(F_n)$.

Define the *ellipticity graph* $\mathcal{E}$ as follows. Vertices of $\mathcal{E}$ are either conjugacy classes of free splittings of F_n or nontrivial cyclic words in F_n. Two vertices $A * B$ and w are adjacent if w has a representative in A or B. The ellipticity graph is $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$-equivariantly quasi-isometric to the free factor graph [KL09]. Let $d_{\mathcal{E}}$ be the distance in the ellipticity graph.

For each free splitting $A * B$ of F_n choose a rose $R(A * B)$ which represents this free splitting. Let $\tilde{R}(A * B)$ be the universal covering of $R(A * B)$. It now suffices to show the existence of a number $\ell > 1$ such that for any two free splittings $A * B$, $C * D$ we have

$$d_{ng}(\tilde{R}(A * B), \tilde{R}(C * D)) \leq \ell d_{\mathcal{E}}(A * B, C * D).$$

To this end let $A * B$ and $C * D$ be free splittings of distance 2 in the ellipticity graph. By Theorem 1.4 of [BK10], up to exchanging A, B and/or C, D and perhaps conjugating $C * D$, there is some primitive element $\alpha \in A \cap C$. In particular, we have

$$F_n = \langle\alpha\rangle * A' * B = \langle\alpha\rangle * C' * D$$

for some free factor A' of A and C' of C.

Choose roses R, R' realizing these two free splittings (up to conjugation), with universal coverings T, T'. For appropriate choices of R, R' there is a petal in $R(A * B), R(C * D)$ which defines a conjugacy class in F_n also defined by a petal in R, R'. Then T and $\tilde{R}(A * B)$ are tied, and the same holds true for T' and $\tilde{R}(C * D)$. Thus the no-gap distance between $T, \tilde{R}(A * B)$ and between $T', \tilde{R}(C * D)$ is at most one.

The minimal length of a loop in R, R' representing α is at most one and hence the no-gap distance between T, T' equals at most one as well. Together we conclude that the no-gap distance between $\tilde{R}(A * B)$ and $\tilde{R}(C * D)$ is at most three. The proposition follows. ☐

3. FOLDING PATHS

A *morphism* between F_n-trees S, T is an equivariant map $\varphi : S \to T$ such that every segment of S can be subdivided into finitely many subintervals on which φ is an isometric embedding.

The following (well known) construction is taken from Section 2 of [BF11]. Let for the moment U be an arbitrary F_n-tree. A *direction* at a point $x \in U$ is a germ of non-degenerate segments $[x, y]$ with $y \neq x$. At each interior point of an edge of U there are exactly two directions. A collection of directions at x is called a *gate* at x. A *turn* at x is an unordered pair of distinct directions at x. It is called *illegal* if the directions belong to the same gate, and it is called *legal* otherwise. A *train track structure* on U is an F_n-invariant family of gates at the points of U so that at each $x \in U$ there are at least two gates.

A morphism $\varphi : S \to T$ determines a collection of gates as follows. Define a turn in S to be *illegal* if it is given by two directions which are identified by the morphism φ. Otherwise the turn is called *legal.* Two directions d, d' at the same point belong to the same gate if either $d = d'$ or if the turn d, d' is illegal. If these gates determine a train track structure on S and if moreover there is a train track structure on T so that φ is an isometric embedding on each edge and legal turns are sent to legal turns, then φ is called a *train track map* (see p.7 of [BF11]).

Recall that there is a natural bijection between conjugacy classes of free bases of F_n and roses (=marked metric roses with n petals). Define the *standard simplex* of a free basis of F_n to consist of all simplicial trees $U \in \overline{cv_0(F_n)}$ which are universal coverings of graphs of volume one obtained from the rose R corresponding to the basis by changing the lengths of the edges. Note that we allow that U is contained in the boundary of unprojectivized Outer space, i.e. that the rank of the fundamental group of the graph U/F_n is strictly smaller than n.

The construction in the following lemma is discussed in detail in Section 2 of [BF11]. We also refer to this paper for references to earlier works where this construction is introduced.

Lemma 3.1. *For every $[T] \in \overline{\mathrm{CV}(F_n)}$ and every standard simplex Δ there is a tree $U \in \Delta$ and a train track map $\varphi : U \to T$ where T is some representative of $[T]$.*

Proof. In the case that $[T] \in \mathrm{CV}(F_n)$ is free simplicial a detailed argument is given in the proof of Proposition 2.5 of [BF11] (see also [FM11]). A limiting argument then yields the result for trees $[T] \in \partial \mathrm{CV}(F_n)$.

Namely, let $[T_i] \subset \mathrm{CV}(F_n)$ be a sequence of free simplicial projective trees which converge in $\overline{\mathrm{CV}(F_n)}$ to a projective tree $[T]$. Let Σ be the set of all trees $U \in \overline{cv(F_n)}$ such that the minimum over all trees $S \in \Delta$ of the optimal Lipschitz constant for equivariant maps $S \to U$ equals one. By Proposition 2.5 of [BF11], for each i there is a point $S_i \in \Delta$, a representative $T_i \in \Sigma$ of $[T_i]$ and a train track map $f_i : S_i \to T_i$ of Lipschitz constant one.

The set Σ is compact with respect to the equivariant Gromov Hausdorff topology [P88]. For each i the graph A_i of f_i is a closed F_n-invariant subset of $S_i \times T_i$. By compactness, we may assume that the sequence A_i converges to a closed F_n-invariant subset A of $S \times T$ where $S \in \Delta$ and $T \in \Sigma$ is a representative of $[T]$.

By continuity, for $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in A$ we have $d_T(y_1, y_2) \leq d_S(x_1, x_2)$. In particular, for each $x \in S$ there is a unique point $f(x) \in T$ so that $(x, f(x)) \in A$, and the assignment $x \to f(x)$ is an equivariant map $S \to T$ with optimal Lipschitz constant one. This map is an isometry on edges, and there are at least two gates at each preimage of the unique vertex of S/F_n. In other words, f has the required properties. $\square$

Let $S \in cv(F_n)$ and let $f : S \to T$ be a train track map. By the definition of a train track map, f embeds every edge. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be half of the smallest length of an edge of S. Let e, e' be edges with the same initial vertex v which define an illegal turn. Assume that e, e' are parametrized by arc length on compact intervals $[0, a], [0, a']$ where $e(0) = e'(0) = v$. Then there is some $t \in (0, \epsilon]$ so that $fe[0, t] = fe'[0, t]$. For $s \in [0, t]$ let S_s be the quotient of S by the equivalence relation $\sim_s$ which is defined by $u \sim_s v$ if and only if $u = ge(r)$ and $v = ge'(r)$ for some $r \leq s$ and some $g \in F_n$. The tree S_s is called a *fold* of S obtained by folding the illegal turn defined by e, e' (once again, compare the discussion in Section 2 of [BF11]). Note that for $s > 0$ the volume of the graph S_s/F_n is strictly smaller than the volume of S/F_n. There also is an obvious notion of a maximal fold at the turn defined by e, e'.

Using the terminology of the previous paragraph, the assignment $s \to S_s$ ($s \in [0, t]$) is a path in $cv(F_n)$ through $S_0 = S$ which is called a *folding path*. The semigroup property holds for folding paths. For each $s \in [0, t]$ the train track map $f : S \to T$ decomposes as $f = f_s \circ \varphi_s$ where $f_s : S_s \to T$ is a train track map and φ_s is a train track map for the train track structures on S, S_s defined by f and f_s. We refer to [HM11] and to Section 2 of [BF11] for details of this construction. We insist that we view the initial train track map $f : S \to T$ as part of the data defining a folding path (this prevents going backwards along the path).

Repeat this construction with S_t and a perhaps different pair of edges. The path constructed in this way by successive foldings terminates if T is free simplicial (Proposition 2.2 of [BF11]).

In case we rescale all trees along the path to have volume one quotient and rescale the endpoint tree T accordingly it makes sense to fold with *unit speed* each of the illegal turns at once. Using Proposition 2.2 and Proposition 2.5 of [BF11] (compare [BF11] for references), a path constructed in this way from a train track map $f : S \to T$ is unique and will be called a *Skora path* in the sequel. Note however that the path depends on the train track map $f : S \to T$. If $T \in cv(F_n)$ then this path has finite length, otherwise its length may be infinite.

We summarize the discussion as follows (see also Proposition 2.5 of [BF11]).

Lemma 3.2. *For every standard simplex Δ and every tree $[T] \in \overline{\mathrm{CV}(F_n)}$ there is a Skora path connecting some point in Δ with a representative T of $[T]$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, there is a representative T of $[T]$, a tree $U \in \Delta$ and a train track map $f : U \to T$. This train track map then determines a unique Skora path (x_t) issuing from U. By construction, the projectivizations $[x_t]$ of the trees x_t converge as $t \to \infty$ in $\overline{\mathrm{CV}(F_n)}$ to the projectivization $[T]$ of T (see [FM11, BF11]). $\square$

In the sequel we will use volume renormalization to define a Skora path. However, most of the time we consider *unnormalized* Skora paths, i.e. we scale the trees back in such a way that the train track maps along the path are edge isometries onto a fixed endpoint tree T.

For a number $L > 1$, an *L-quasi-geodesic* in $\mathcal{FF}$ is a path $\rho : J \subset \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{FF}$ such that

$$|s-t|/L - L \le d(\rho(s), \rho(t)) \le L|s-t| + L$$

for all $s, t \in J$. The path ρ is called an *unparametrized L-quasi-geodesic* if there is a homeomorphism $\psi : I \to J$ so that $\rho \circ \psi : I \to \mathcal{FF}$ is an L-quasi-geodesic.

Extend the map $\Upsilon : cv_0(F_n) \to \mathcal{FF}$ defined in Section 2 to arbitrary trees $S \in cv(F_n)$ by requiring that $\Upsilon(aT) = \Upsilon(T)$ for all $T \in cv_0(F_n)$ and all $a > 0$. There also is a natural extension of Υ to the subset of $\partial cv(F_n)$ which consists of all minimal very small simplicial F_n-trees with trivial edge stabilizers. In particular, Υ is defined on any standard simplex Δ, and the image of Δ under Υ has uniformly bounded diameter independent of Δ. The following result is Corollary 5.5 of [BF11].

Proposition 3.3. *There is a number $L > 1$ such that the image under Υ of a folding path is an unparametrized L-quasi-geodesic in $\mathcal{FF}$.*

For a number $c > 0$, we say that a path $\alpha : [0, \infty) \to \mathcal{FF}$ is a *c-fellow traveler* of a path β if there is a nondecreasing function $\tau : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ such that for all $t \ge 0$ we have $d(\alpha(t), \beta(\tau(t))) \le c$. Note that we do not require here that the function τ has unbounded image.

Lemma 3.4. *There is a number $c > 0$ with the following property. Let $T \in \partial cv(F_n)$, let $U \in \overline{cv(F_n)}$ be a point in a standard simplex and let $f : U \to T$ be a train track map. If $(w_t) \subset \overline{cv(F_n)}$ is the Skora path defined by f and if (y_t) is any folding path defined by f then the path $\Upsilon(y_t)$ is a c-fellow traveller of the path $\Upsilon(w_t)$.*

Proof. For simplicity of notation, in this proof we use a different parametrization of a Skora path. Namely, we do not renormalize the volume of the quotient graphs along the path, but we always identify edges with speed one. We call such a path a reparametrized Skora path.

Let $(y_s)_{s \ge 0}$ be a folding path defined by a train track map $f : y_0 \to T$. Again we identify segments with speed one without renormalization. Let moreover $(w_s)_{s \ge 0}$ be the reparametrized Skora path defined by the same train track map. Since we do not renormalize volume, for each s there is a train track map $g_s : w_s \to T$. We claim that for all s there is a train track map $\varphi_s : y_s \to w_s$ whose composition with g_s is a train track map $g_s \circ \varphi_s : y_s \to T$.

To this end let $A \subset [0,\infty)$ be the set of all numbers s with this property. Then clearly $0 \in A$, moreover A is closed. It now suffices to show that A is open.

Thus let $s \in A$ and let $\varphi_s : y_s \to w_s$ be a train track map whose existence is assumed by the definition of A. For $\delta > 0$, the composition of φ_s with the natural train track map $w_s \to w_{s+\delta}$ is a train track map $\Phi_\delta : y_s \to w_{s+\delta}$, for the given train track structures on $y_s, w_{s+\delta}$, and

$$g_{s+\delta} \circ \Phi_\delta : y_s \to T$$

is a train track map.

Let $(x_{s+\epsilon})$ $(\epsilon \in [0,\delta])$ be the initial segment of length δ of the reparametrized Skora path which starts at y_s and is determined by the train track map $\Phi_\delta : y_s \to w_{s+\delta}$. By Lemma 2.3 of [BF11], for all $\epsilon \leq \delta$, the tree $x_{s+\epsilon}$ is contained in the Skora path connecting y_s to T which is determined by the train track map $g_s \circ \varphi_s : y_s \to T$. In particular, $x_{s+\epsilon}$ admits a natural train track structure so that the morphisms

$$\Xi_\epsilon : y_s \to x_{s+\epsilon} \text{ and } \Psi_\epsilon : x_{s+\epsilon} \to w_{s+\epsilon}$$

are train track maps for this structure.

Let $\epsilon_0 > 0$ be smaller than half the smallest length of an edge of y_s. For sufficiently small $\epsilon \leq \epsilon_0$, say for all $\epsilon \leq \epsilon_1$, the tree $x_{s+\epsilon}$ can be obtained from y_s by identifying all initial segments of edges defining an illegal turn on a length of ϵ. On the other hand, by perhaps decreasing ϵ_1, we may assume that for $\epsilon \leq \epsilon_1$ the tree $y_{s+\epsilon}$ is obtained from y_s by folding intial segments of some of the edges defining an illegal turn in y_s. This implies that there is a train track map $\Theta_\epsilon : y_{s+\epsilon} \to x_{s+\epsilon}$ whose composition with the train track map $\Psi_\epsilon : x_{s+\epsilon} \to w_{s+\epsilon}$ is a train track map $\Psi_\epsilon \circ \Theta_\epsilon : y_{s+\epsilon} \to w_{s+\epsilon}$. This map in turn can be composed with $g_{s+\epsilon}$ to a train track map $y_{s+\epsilon} \to T$. This shows that A is open.

As a consequence, for each s a folding path connecting y_0 to y_s can be composed with a folding path connecting y_s to w_s, and this defines a folding path connecting y_0 to w_s. Remember here that we required a train track map to be part of the data defining a folding path.

The concatentation of this folding path with the path $(w_t)_{t \geq s}$ is a folding path (z_u^s) connecting y_0 to T. Now the image under Υ of a folding path is a uniform unparametrized quasi-geodesic in $\mathcal{FF}$. Since the paths (w_t) and (z_u^s) issue from the same point and coincide evenutally, by hyperbolicity of $\mathcal{FF}$ the path $\Upsilon(z_u^s)$ is a c-fellow traveller of $\Upsilon(w_t)$ for a number $c > 0$ only depending on $\mathcal{FF}$. Since $s > 0$ was arbitrary we conclude that $\Upsilon(y_t)$ is a uniform fellow traveller of the path $\Upsilon(w_t)$.

Since (y_s) was an arbitrary folding path defined by the train track map $f : y_0 \to T$, this shows the lemma. $\square$

Define an equivalence relation $\equiv$ on $\mathcal{FF} \cup \partial\mathcal{FF}$ by $x \equiv y$ if and only if either $x = y$ or if $x, y \in \mathcal{FF}$.

Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 3.3 imply that for every standard simplex Δ there is a natural coarsely defined map $\varphi_\Delta : \partial\mathrm{CV}(F_n) \to \mathcal{FF} \cup \partial\mathcal{FF}/\equiv$. The map

φ_Δ associates to a projective tree $[T] \in \partial\mathrm{CV}(F_n)$ the equivalence class of the endpoint of the image under Υ of a Skora path connecting a starting point in Δ to a representative of $[T]$.

Namely, let (x_t) be a Skora path connecting a point in Δ to T. If the path $\Upsilon(x_t)$ has finite diameter then define $\varphi_\Delta([T])$ to be the class of a point in $\mathcal{FF}$. If the path $\Upsilon(x_t)$ has infinite diameter then $\varphi_\Delta([T])$ is the unique endpoint in the Gromov boundary $\partial\mathcal{FF}$ of $\mathcal{FF}$ of the unparametrized L-quasi-geodesic $\Upsilon(x_t)$.

Note that a priori, this map depends on choices since a Skora path connecting a tree S in Δ to a representative T of $[T]$ depends on the choice of a train track map $S \to T$. The next lemma shows that the map φ_Δ does not depend on any choices made.

Lemma 3.5. *The map φ_Δ does not depend on the choice of the Skora path or on the choice of Δ. Moreover it is $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$-equivariant.*

Proof. Let $(x_t)_{t\geq 0}$ be a Skora path which connects a point $x_0 \in \Delta$ to a tree $T \in \partial cv(F_n)$. Choose another (not necessarily different) standard simplex Λ and an arbitrary train track map $f : S \to aT$ where $S \in \Lambda$ and $a > 0$. Since the quotient S/F_n has a single vertex v, by equivariance and the definition of a train track map, f is uniquely determined by $f(\tilde{v})$ where $\tilde{v}$ is a preimage of v in S.

Now $x_t \to T$ in $\overline{cv(F_n)}$ $(t \to \infty)$ and hence by the definition of the equivariant Gromov Hausdorff topology [P89] and the discussion in the proof of Lemma 3.1, there is a sequence $t_i \to \infty$, a sequence $S_i \subset \Lambda$ of points in the standard simplex Λ, a sequence $a_i \to a$ and a sequence of train track maps $f_i : S_i \to a_i x_{t_i}$ which converge as $i \to \infty$ to the map f in the following sense: The graphs of f_i in $S_i \times a_i x_{t_i}$ converge in the equivariant Gromov Hausdorff topology to the graph of f.

Namely, let $\{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$ be a free basis of F_n which determines the simplex Λ and let K be the compact subtree of T which is the convex hull of the points $f(\tilde{v}), g_j f(\tilde{v}), g_j^{-1} f(\tilde{v})$ $(j = 1, \dots, n)$. By definition of the equivariant Gromov Hausdorff topology, for each t there is a compact subtree K_t of x_t which is the convex hull of a point $v_t \in x_t$ and the points $g_j v_t, g_j^{-1} v_t$ so that the trees K_t converge to K in the usual Gromov Hausdorff topology (see [P89] for details). Since for each $U \in \Lambda$ the quotient tree U/F_n has a single vertex, for each t there there is a tree $S_t \in \Lambda$, there is a number $a_t > 0$ and an equivariant train track map $S_t \to a_t x_t$ which maps a preimage of a vertex of S_t/F_n to v_t. By [P88], a subsequence of this sequence of train track maps has the requested properties.

The subspace of $\overline{cv(F_n)}$ of all trees which have one-sided Lipschitz distance one from a tree in Λ is compact. For each i connect S_i to $a_i x_{t_i}$ by an arbitrary Skora path (y_s^i). Now normalized Skora paths are geodesics for the one-sided Lipschitz metric on the locally compact space $\overline{cv_0(F_n)}$ [FM11] and hence we can apply to these paths the Arzela Ascoli theorem. As a consequence, up to passing to a subsequence the paths (y_s^i) converge as $i \to \infty$ to a Skora path (y_s) connecting S to aT. The image under Υ of this family of paths is a family of unparametrized quasi-geodesics in $\mathcal{FF}$.

Recall that the diameter of $\Upsilon(\Lambda) \subset \mathcal{FF}$ is bounded independent of Λ. Let $b \geq 0$ be such that $\Upsilon(x_b)$ is a coarsely well defined shortest distance projection of $\Upsilon(\Lambda)$ into the unparametrized quasi-geodesic $\Upsilon(x_t)$. By hyperbolicity of $\mathcal{FF}$, for every $u > b$ and every $v > u$, the image under Υ of any Skora path connecting a point in Λ to x_v passes through a uniformly bounded neighborhood of $\Upsilon(x_u)$. Thus for all $u > b$ and all i such that $t_i > u$, $\Upsilon(y_s^i)$ passes through a uniformly bounded neighborhood of $\Upsilon(x_u)$ and hence the same holds true for $\Upsilon(y_s)$. This shows that there is a number $R > 0$ only depending on Λ, Δ such that $\Upsilon(y_s)$ is an R-fellow traveller of $\Upsilon(x_t)$. By symmetry, we conclude that indeed the map φ_Δ coarsely does not depend on the choice of Δ or on the choices of the Skora paths. As a consequence, the map φ_Δ is moreover coarsely $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$ equivariant. ☐

4. Trees in $\partial\mathrm{CV}(F_n)$ without dense orbits

By the results in [CL95, BF92], the boundary $\partial cv(F_n)$ of unprojectivized Outer space $cv(F_n)$ consists of minimal *very small* actions of F_n on $\mathbb{R}$-trees. This means that a point in $\partial cv(F_n)$ is a minimal F_n-tree with the following properties.

1. Edge stabilizers are cyclic.
2. If g^n stabilizes an edge e for some $n \geq 1$ then so does g.
3. $\mathrm{Fix}(g)$ contains no tripod for $g \neq 1$.

A tree $T \in \partial cv(F_n)$ decomposes canonically into two disjoint F_n-invariant subsets T_d and T_c. Here T_d is the set of all points p such that the orbit $F_n p$ is discrete, and $T_c = T - T_d$. The set $T_c \subset T$ is closed. Each of its connnected components is a subtree T' of T. The stabilizer of T' acts on T' with dense orbits. We have $T_d = \emptyset$ if and only if the group F_n acts on T with dense orbits. This property is invariant under scaling and hence it is defined for projective trees.

Let Θ be the equivalence class of $\mathcal{FF}$ in $\mathcal{FF} \cup \partial\mathcal{FF}/\equiv$. Using the notations from Section 3, the goal of this section is to show that $\varphi_\Delta([T]) = \Theta$ for every tree T with $T_d \neq \emptyset$.

Thus let $T \in \partial cv(F_n)$ be a very small F_n-tree with $T_d \neq \emptyset$. The quotient T/F_n admits a natural pseudo-metric. Let $\widehat{T/F_n}$ be the associated metric space.

Since T is very small, by Theorem 1 of [L94] the space $\widehat{T/F_n}$ is a finite graph. Edges correspond to orbits of the action of G on $\pi_0(T/\overline{B})$ where $B \subset T$ is the set of branch points of T. The graph $\widehat{T/F_n}$ defines a graph of groups decomposition for F_n, with at most cyclic edge groups.

The proof of the following observation is inspired by an argument which was shown to me by Vincent Guirardel.

Proposition 4.1. *If $[T] \in \partial\mathrm{CV}(F_n)$ is such that $T_d \neq \emptyset$ then $\varphi_\Delta([T]) = \Theta$.*

Proof. Let $[T] \in \partial\mathrm{CV}(F_n)$ be such that $T_d \neq \emptyset$. Let (x_t) be an unnormalized Skora path connecting a point x_0 in a standard simplex Δ to a representative T of $[T]$. Let e be an edge of $\widehat{T/F_n}$.

For $t \geq 0$ let $f_t : x_t \to T$ be the morphism defined by the Skora path. The map f_t is equivariant and surjective. Moreover, for all $s \leq t$ there is a morphism $g_{st} : x_s \to x_t$ such that $f_s = f_t \circ g_{st}$.

Let $a > 0$ be the smallest length of an edge in $\widehat{T/F_n}$ and let $c \geq a$ be the volume of $\widehat{T/F_n}$. The volume of x_t/F_n is a decreasing function in t which converges as $t \to \infty$ to some $b \geq c$. Thus there is a number $t_0 > 0$ such that the volume of x_{t_0}/F_n is smaller than $b + a/8$.

Let e_0 be an edge of T. The length of e_0 is at least a. By equivariance and the fact that for each t the map f_t is an edge isometry, there is a non-degenerate subarc c_0 of e_0 of length at least $a/2$ (the central subarc of length $a/2$) such that for each $t \geq t_0$ the preimage of c_0 under f_t consists of $k(t) \geq 1$ pairwise disjoint subarcs of edges of x_{t_0} (i.e. the preimage does not contain any vertex). Namely, since f_t is an edge isometry, otherwise there are two segments in x_t of length at least $a/4$ which are identified by the Skora path connecting x_t to T. By equivariance, this implies that there is some $u > t$ such that the volume of x_u/F_n does not exceed the volume of x_t/F_n minus $a/4$. This violates the assumption on the volume of x_{t_0}/F_n.

We claim that the number $k(t)$ of preimages of c_0 in x_t does not depend on $t \geq t_0$. Namely, by equivariance and the definition of a Skora path, otherwise there is some $t > 0$ and a vertex of x_t which is mapped by f_t into c_0. By the discussion in the previous paragraph, this is impossible.

Write $k = k(t_0)$. For each $u \geq t_0$ the preimage of c_0 in x_u consists of exactly k segments. Then for each $u \geq t_0$, the morphism g_{t_0u} maps the k components of the preimage of c_0 in x_{t_0} isometrically onto the k components of the preimage of c_0 in x_u. By equivariance, this implies that there is an edge h_{t_0} of x_{t_0}/F_n and there is a subsegment ρ_{t_0} of h_{t_0} of length $a/2$ which is mapped by the quotient map $\hat{g}_{t_0u} : x_{t_0}/F_n \to x_u/F_n$ of the train track map g_{t_0u} isometrically onto a subsegment ρ_u of an edge h_u in x_u/F_n, and $\hat{g}_{t_0u}^{-1}(\rho_u) = \rho_0$.

As a consequence, a loop in x_{t_0}/F_n which passes through the edge h_{t_0} at most $m \geq 0$ times is mapped by $\hat{g}_{t_0u}$ to a loop in x_u/F_n which passes through h_u at most $m \geq 0$ times. By Lemma 3.2 of [BF11], this implies that the distance in $\mathcal{FF}$ between $\Upsilon(x_{t_0})$ and $\Upsilon(x_u)$ is uniformly bounded, independent of $u \geq t_0$. As a consequence, we have $\varphi_\Delta([T]) = \Theta$ as claimed. $\square$

5. Trees which split as graph of actions

The goal of this section is to investigate the structure of those trees in $\partial\mathrm{CV}(F_n)$ with dense orbits which have a structure similar to the structure of trees T with $T_d \neq \emptyset$. The description of such trees is as follows [G08, L94].

Definition 5.1. A *graph of actions* consists of

(1) a simplicial tree S, called the *skeleton*, equipped with an action of F_n
(2) for each vertex v of S an $\mathbb{R}$-tree Y_v, called a *vertex tree*, and
(3) for each oriented edge e of S with terminal vertex v a point $p_v \in Y_v$, called an *attaching point.*

It is required that the projection $Y_v \to p_v$ is equivariant and that for $g \in F_n$ one has $gp_e = p_{ge}$.

Associated to a graph of actions $\mathcal{G}$ is a canonical action of F_n on an $\mathbb{R}$-tree $T_{\mathcal{G}}$ which is called the *dual* of the graph of actions [L94]. Define a pseudo-metric d on $\coprod_{v \in V(S)} Y_v$ as follows. If $x \in Y_{v_0}, y \in Y_{v_k}$ let $e_1 \dots e_k$ be the reduced edge-path from v_0 to v_k in S and define

$$d(x,y) = d_{Y_{v_1}}(x, p_{\overline{e}_1}) + \dots + d_{Y_{v_k}}(p_{e_k}, y).$$

Making this pseudo-metric Hausdorff gives an $\mathbb{R}$-tree $T_{\mathcal{G}}$.

If T is an F_n-tree and if there is an equivariant isometry $T \to T_{\mathcal{G}}$ to the dual of a graph of actions then we say that T *splits as a graph of actions.* In particular, every tree T with $T_d \neq \emptyset$ splits as a graph of actions, but there are trees T which split as a graph of actions with $T_d = \emptyset$.

We also say that the projectivization $[T]$ of an F_n-tree T splits as a graph of actions if T splits as a graph of actions.

A *transverse family* for an F_n-tree S with dense orbits is an F_n-invariant family $\{Y_v\}$ of non-degenerate subtrees $Y_v \subset T$ with the property that if $Y_v \neq Y_{v'}$ then $Y_v \cap Y_{v'}$ contains at most one point. The transverse family is a *transverse covering* if any finite segment $I \subset T$ is contained in a finite union $Y_{v_1} \cup \dots \cup Y_{v_r}$ of components from the family. By Lemma 1.5 of [G08], T admits a transverse covering if and only if T splits as a graph of actions.

An *alignment preserving map* between two F_n-trees $T, T' \in \overline{cv(F_n)}$ is an equivariant map $f : T \to T'$ with the property that $x \in [y,z]$ implies $f(x) \in [f(y), f(z)]$. An equivariant map f is alignment preserving if and only if the preimage of every point in T' is convex [G00]. The map f is then continuous on segments. An alignment preserving morphism is an equivariant isometry.

If $T \in \partial cv(F_n)$ splits as a graph of actions then there is a minimal F_n-tree U with $U_d \neq \emptyset$, and there is an alignment preserving one-Lipschitz map $U \to T$ which restricts to an equivariant isometry on the preimage of each vertex tree of T. We call U an *extension* of T. If $T_d \neq \emptyset$ then we can choose $U = T$. Otherwise the tree U can be obtained by inserting the skeleton of T, however U is by no means unique.

The next lemma shows that U can be chosen to be very small. Note however that this is not true for every such tree U (see [R12] for an example).

Lemma 5.2. *If $[T] \in \partial CV(F_n)$ has dense orbits and splits as a graph of actions then there is a tree $T' \in \partial cv(F_n)$ with $T'_d \neq \emptyset$, and there is a one-Lipschitz alignment preserving map $\rho : T' \to T$.*

Proof. Assume that $T \in \partial cv(F_n)$ has dense orbits and splits as a graph of actions. Choose an extension S of T. Then there is a one-Lipschitz alignment preserving map $f : S \to T$ whose restriction to the preimage of each of the vertex trees of the transverse covering defining the graph of actions is an isometry. The map f collapses each edge of S to a point.

Fix an edge e in $\widehat{S/F_n}$ and let V be the tree obtained from S by equivariantly collapsing those edges of S to points which do not project to e. Then V is a minimal F_n-tree with $V_d \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, there is an equivariant surjective one-Lipschitz alignment preserving map

$$\xi : V \to T$$

which collapses the edges of V to points. More precisely, the image under ξ of the the set of edges of V is a countable F_n-invariant collection A of points in T. The tree V defines the structure of a graph of actions with dual tree T. We denote by Y_v $(v \in A)$ the corresponding transverse covering of T.

Since T is very small, the tree V is very small if the stabilizer of an edge in V is at most maximal cyclic. In this case we are done.

Let $U \in \overline{cv(F_n)}$ be a point in a standard simplex Δ and let

$$\varphi : U \to T$$

be a train track map. Then φ is determined by the image of a vertex $v \in U$. Namely, let $e_1, \dots, e_n$ be a free basis of F_n whose conjugacy class defines the standard simplex Δ and let v be a vertex of U. By equivariance and the definition of a morphism, φ is determined by the point $\varphi(v) \in T$, the points $\varphi(e_i v)$ $(i = 1, \dots, n)$ and the requirement that the restriction of φ to each edge of U is an isometric embedding.

We use the map φ to construct a simplicial tree U', a one-Lipschitz alignment preserving map $\alpha : U' \to U$ and a morphism $\beta : U' \to V$ as follows.

Assume first that $\varphi(v) \notin A$. Then the points $\varphi(v), \varphi(e_i v)$ have unique preimages $\beta(v) = \xi^{-1}(\varphi(v)), \beta(e_i v) = \xi^{-1}(\varphi(e_i v))$ in V. There is an F_n-tree $U' \in cv(F_n)$ which can be obtained from U by equivariantly rescaling the edges of U, and there is a train track map

$$\beta : U' \to V$$

defined by the points $\beta(v), \beta(e_i v)$ (here we use the same notation v for the vertex in U and U'). Moreover, there is a one-Lipschitz alignment preserving map $\alpha : U' \to U$ such that

$$\xi \circ \beta = \varphi \circ \alpha.$$

The map α contracts some closed subintervals of edges of U' to points.

Similarly, if $\varphi(v) \in A$ then $\varphi(e_i v) \in A$ for all i. Then $\xi^{-1}(\varphi(v))$ is an edge in V with a well defined midpoint $\beta(v)$. Construct the tree U' and the map β from these data as before by equivariance.

The map φ determines an unnormalized Skora path (x_t) connecting $U = x_0$ to T. Let $\varphi_t : x_t \to T$ be the corresponding family of train track maps. For $t > s$ we have $\varphi_s = \varphi_t \circ g_{st}$ for a train track map $g_{st} : x_s \to x_t$. The above recipe (lifting those vertices of x_t which are not mapped into A to the corresponding points in V, lifting the vertices which are mapped into A to the midpoints of the corresponding edges and equivariantly adjusting lengths) can be used to construct for each t an F_n-tree y_t, a morphism $\beta_t : y_t \to V$ and a one-Lipschitz alignment preserving map $\alpha_t : y_t \to x_t$ such that

$$\xi \circ \beta_t = \varphi_t \circ \alpha_t.$$

For each t, the tree y_t is very small simplicial.

Let again $v \in x_0$ be a vertex, let P be any finite subset of F_n and consider the convex hulls of the images of v by the elements of P. Then K is a compact subtree of x_0. The image $\varphi(K)$ of K in T is a compact subtree of T. By the definition of a graph of actions, this subtree intersects the set A in only finitely many points.

Let $m \geq 0$ be this number of points, let $n \geq 1$ be the cardinality of P and let $k \geq 0$ be the total length of K, i.e. the sum of the length of all edges contained in K. If we assume without loss of generality that the length of the edges of V equals one then the total length of the subtree of U' constructed from the set P and the preimage of the vertex v does not exceed $k + mn$.

Now for each t the total length of the subtree of x_t which is the convex hull of the points $g_{0t}v, hg_{0t}v$ ($h \in P$) does not exceed k, and hence as in the previous paragraph, the total length of the subtree K_t of y_t defined by P and the preimage of $g_{0t}v$ is not bigger than $k + mn$. As a consequence, for each $\epsilon > 0$ there is a covering of these trees by balls of radius ϵ whose cardinality does not depend on t. Thus by the main theorem of [P88], there is a sequence $t_i \to \infty$ such that the sequence (y_{t_i}) converges in the equivariant Gromov Hausdorff topology to an F_n-tree y. The tree y is very small [CL95, BF92], and $y_d \neq \emptyset$.

Since $x_{t_i} \to T$ and since for each t there is a one-Lipschitz alignment preserving map $\alpha_t : y_t \to x_t$, by the definition of the equivariant Gromov Hausdorff topology and by passing to a subsequence we may assume that there is a one-Lipschitz alignment preserving map

$$\rho : y \to T.$$

This is what we wanted to show. □

The proof of the next proposition is similar to the proof of Lemma 5.2.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $T, T' \in \partial cv(F_n)$ and assume that there is a one-Lipschitz alignment preserving map $\rho : T \to T'$. Then $\varphi_\Delta([T|) = \varphi_\Delta([T'])$.*

Proof. Let S be a free simplicial F_n-tree and let $\varphi : S \to T$ be a train track map. Assume that there is a one-Lipschitz alignment preserving map $\rho : T \to T'$. Then the map $\rho \circ \varphi : S \to T'$ is equivariant and one-Lipschitz. In particular, there is an F_n-tree S' which can be obtained from S by decreasing the lengths of some edges of S, there is a one-Lipschitz alignment preserving map $\alpha : S \to S'$ and a morphism $\varphi' : S' \to T'$ such that

$$\varphi' \circ \alpha = \rho \circ \varphi.$$

Here we allow that the map α collapses some edges to points.

Let $a, b \subset S$ be subsegments of edges incident on the same vertex p which are identified by the map φ. Then the segments a, b are also identified by $\rho \circ \varphi$. This means the following. Let U be the simplicial tree obtained by folding the segments a, b. Then there is a morphism $\psi : U \to T$. Moreover, there is a simplicial tree U' which can be obtained from S' by a (perhaps trivial) fold, and there is a one-Lipschitz alignment preserving map $\beta : U \to U'$ such that

$$\psi' \circ \beta = \rho \circ \psi$$

for a morphism $\psi' : U' \to T'$.

This discussion shows the following. Let (x_t) be a folding path connecting S to T. By this we mean that only a single fold is performed at the time, and $(x_t) \to T$ in the equivariant Gromov Hausdorff topology. For each t there is a train track map $h_t : x_t \to T$. There is a (suitably parametrized) folding path (y_t) connecting S' to T', for each t there is a one-Lipschitz alignment preserving map $\zeta_t : x_t \to y_t$, and there is a morphism $h_t' : y_t \to T'$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} x_t \arrow[r, "h_t"] \arrow[d, "\zeta_t"'] & T \arrow[d, "\rho"'] \\ y_t \arrow[r, "h_t'"] & T' \end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. Moreover, as $t \to \infty$, y_t converges in the equivariant Gromov Hausdorff topology to T'.

For each t, the distance in $\mathcal{FF}$ between $\Upsilon(x_t)$ and $\Upsilon(y_t)$ is uniformly bounded. Since the assignments $t \to \Upsilon(x_t)$ and $t \to \Upsilon(y_t)$ are uniform unparametrized quasi-geodesics, the lemma now follows from Lemma 3.4. ☐

As an immediate corollary of Lemma 5.2 and Lemma 5.3 we obtain

Corollary 5.4. *If* $[T]$ *splits as a graph of actions then* $\varphi_\Delta([T]) = \Theta$.

From now on we only consider trees $T \in \partial cv(F_n)$ (or projective trees $[T] \in \partial \mathrm{CV}(F_n)$ with dense orbits. For simplicity we call such a tree *dense.*

The following definition is due to Paulin (see [G00]).

Definition 5.5. A *length measure* μ on T is an F_n-invariant collection

$$\mu = \{\mu_I\}_{I \subset T}$$

of locally finite Borel measures on the finite arcs $I \subset T$; it is required that for $J \subset I$ we have $\mu_J = (\mu_I)|J$.

The Lebesgue measure λ defining the metric on T is an example of a length measure on T with full support.

Denote by $M_0(T)$ the set of all non-atomic length measures on T. By Corollary 5.4 of [G00], $M_0(T)$ is a finite dimensional convex set which is projectively compact. Up to homothety, there are at most $3n - 4$ non-atomic ergodic length measures. Each non-atomic length measure $\mu \in M_0(T)$ defines an F_n-tree $T_\mu \in \partial cv(F_n)$ as follows [G00]. Define a pseudo-metric d_μ on T by $d_\mu(x,y)=\mu([x,y])$. Making this pseudo-metric Hausdorff gives an $\mathbb{R}$-tree T_μ.

By Lemma 10.2 of [R10], if T admits an invariant atomic measure then T splits as a graph of actions. The same holds true if T admits two invariant non-atomic measures whose supports are non-degenerate and distinct (Lemma 12.1 of [R10]). Thus if either T admits an invariant atomic measure or two invariant measures with distinct non-degenerate support then $\varphi_\Delta([T])=\Theta$.

Following [R10], if $T,T'\in\overline{cv(F_n)}$ and if there is an alignment preserving map $f:T\to T'$ then we say that T' is a *projection* of T. By the discussion in [G00], if μ' is a non-atomic length measure on T' then there is a length measure μ on T such that $f_*\mu=\mu'$. This means that for every segment $I\subset T$ we have $\mu(I)=\mu'(fI)$. We use Lemma 5.3 to show

Corollary 5.6. *Let $T,T'\in\partial cv(F_n)$ and let $f:T\to T'$ be alignment preserving. Then $\varphi_\Delta([T])=\varphi_\Delta([T'])$.*

Proof. Let $T,T'\in\partial cv(F_n)$ and assume that there is an alignment preserving map $f:T\to T'$. Then there are length measures $\xi\in M_0(T),\xi'\in M_0(T')$, and there is a one-Lipschitz map $\hat{f}:T_\xi\to T_{\xi'}$. Lemma 5.3 shows that $\varphi_\Delta([T_\xi])=\varphi_\Delta([T_{\xi'}])$.

Thus it suffices to show the following. Let $\mu\in M_0(T)$ be arbitrary; then $\varphi_\Delta([T_\mu])=\varphi_\Delta([T])$.

To this end let ν be a length measure which is contained in the interior of the convex polyhedron $M_0(T)$. Let ζ be one of the vertices of $M_0(T)$; this is an ergodic measure in $M_0(T)$. Up to rescaling, there is a one-Lipschitz alignment preserving map $T_\nu\to T_\zeta$. Lemma 5.3 shows that $\varphi_\Delta([T_\nu])=\varphi_\Delta([T_\zeta])$.

Now if $\xi\in M_0(T)$ is arbitrary then there is an ergodic measure $\beta\in M_0(T)$, and there is a one-Lipschitz alignment preserving map $T_\xi\to T_\beta$. Using once more Lemma 5.3, we deduce that $\varphi_\Delta([T_\xi])=\varphi_\Delta([T_\beta])=\varphi_\Delta([T_\nu])$. Since the Lebesgue measure on T defines a point in $M_0(T)$ this completes the proof of the corollary. □

As an immediate consequence of Lemma 4.1 and Corollary 5.6, we have

Corollary 5.7. *If the tree $[T]$ admits an alignment preserving map onto a tree which splits as a graph of actions then $\varphi_\Delta([T])=\Theta$.*

Recall from the introduction the definition of an indecomposable tree. The following result is Corollary 11.2 of [R10].

Proposition 5.8. *Let $T\in\overline{cv(F_n)}$ have dense orbits, and assume that T is neither indecomposable nor splits as a graph of actions. Then there is an alignment preserving map $f:T\to T'$ such that*

1. *either T' is indecomposable or T' splits as a graph of actions,*
2. *the image under f of the zero lamination of T is contained in the zero lamination of T'.*

Proposition 5.8, Proposition 4.1 and Corollary 5.7 immediately imply

Corollary 5.9. *Let* $[T] \in \partial\mathrm{CV}(F_n)$ *be such that* $\varphi_\Delta([T]) \in \partial\mathcal{FF}$. *Then* T *admits an alignment preserving map onto an indecomposable tree.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.1, if $\varphi_\Delta([T]) \in \partial\mathcal{FF}$ then T has dense orbits. The corollary now follows from Proposition 5.8 and Corollary 5.7. ☐

6. ARATIONAL TREES

In this section we complete the proof of the theorem from the introduction.

The *zero lamination* $L^2(T)$ of an $\mathbb{R}$-tree T is the closed F_n-invariant subset of $\partial F_n \times \partial F_n - \Delta$ which is the set of all accumulation points of pairs of fixed points of any family of conjugacy classes with translation length on T that tends to 0. The zero lamination of a tree $T \in cv(F_n)$ is empty. For $T \in \partial cv(F_n)$, it only depends on the projective class $[T] \in \partial\mathrm{CV}(F_n)$ of T. We refer to [CHL07] for more information on the zero lamination of a tree T.

A finitely generated subgroup $H < F_n$ is free, and its boundary ∂H of H is naturally a closed subset of the boundary ∂F_n of F_n. If H stabilizes a point in T then the set $\partial H \times \partial H - \Delta$ of pairs of distinct points in ∂H viewed as a subset of $\partial F_n \times \partial F_n - \Delta$ is contained in $L^2(T)$.

We say that a leaf $\ell \in L^2(T)$ is *carried* by a finitely generated subgroup H of F_n if it is a point in $\partial H \times \partial H - \Delta$. A closed F_n-invariant subset C of $\partial F_n \times \partial F_n - \Delta$ *intersects a free factor* if there is a proper free factor H of F_n so that $C \cap \partial H \times \partial H - \Delta \neq \emptyset$. We say that C is *contained* in H if $C = F_n(C \cap \partial H \times \partial H - \Delta)$. We also say that a measured lamination μ is *supported* in a subgroup H of F_n if each leaf of the support of μ is carried by a conjugate of H.

The following lemma is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.5 of [R11]. For its formulation, from now on we always denote by $\overline{T}$ the metric completion of an F_n-tree T.

Lemma 6.1. *Let* $T \in \partial cv(F_n)$ *be indecomposable. If the zero lamination* $L^2(T)$ *of* T *intersects a free factor* H *then there is a point stabilizer in* $\overline{T}$ *which intersects a free factor.*

Proof. The intersection of $L^2(T)$ with a free factor H is contained in the zero lamination of the minimal H-invariant subtree T_H of T. By Theorem 4.5 of [R11], the action on T of any proper free factor H of F_n is discrete and hence T_H is simplicial. Thus the zero lamination of T_H is contained in a point stabilizer of $\overline{T_H}$. ☐

To obtain more information on supports of measured laminations μ with $\langle T, \mu\rangle = 0$ we use the following consequence of Theorem 49 of [Ma95].

Lemma 6.2. *Let $H < F_n$ be a finitely generated subgroup of infinite index which does not intersect a free factor. Then $\partial H \times \partial H - \Delta$ does not support a measured lamination for F_n.*

Proof. Assume to the contrary that $\partial H \times \partial H - \Delta$ supports an ergodic measured lamination ν for F_n. Let $\ell \in \partial H \times \partial H - \Delta$ be a density point for ν.

Choose a free basis $\mathcal{A}$ for F_n and represent ℓ by a biinfinite word (w_i) in that basis. Since $H < F_n$ is finitely generated, H is quasiconvex and hence we may assume that there is a sequence $n_i \to \infty$ such that each of the prefixes (w_{n_i}) of the word (w_i) represent an element of H. By ergodicity of ν and the fact that ℓ is a typical point for ν, there is some $i < j$ such that $w_{n_j} = w_{n_i} w_{n_i}$ (the double of the same word $w = w_{n_i}$).

We now follow the reasoning in the proof of Theorem 17 of [Ma95] (p.47). Namely, since H does not intersect a free factor, Lemma 48 and Theorem 49 of [Ma95] (which is attributed to Bestvina there) show that some Whitehead graph of w has no cut vertex and is connected. But every cyclic word v containing w^2 as a subword has a Whitehead graph which has the Whitehead graph above as a subgraph, i.e. it has a connected Whitehead graph without cut vertices. Thus Theorem 49 of [Ma95] implies that a cyclically reduced word v containing w^2 as a subword can not be primitive.

However, $\nu \in \mathcal{ML}$ and hence ν is a weak*-limit of measures μ_i which are dual to primitive conjugacy classes for F_n [KL07]. This means in particular that the density point ℓ for ν can be approximated by pairs of fixed points of primitive elements. As a consequence, there is such a primitive element represented by a word in $\mathcal{A}$ which contains w^2 as a subword. This is a contradiction which implies the lemma. ☐

As a consequence of Lemma 6.1 and Lemma 6.2 we obtain

Corollary 6.3. *An indecomposable tree $[T]$ is contained in $\mathcal{FT}$ if and only if no point stabilizer for the action of F_n on $\overline{T}$ intersects a free factor.*

Denote as before by $\overline{T}$ the metric completion of an F_n-tree $T \in \partial cv(F_n)$ with dense orbits. The union $\hat{T} = \overline{T} \cup \partial T$ of $\overline{T}$ with the Gromov boundary ∂T of T can be equipped with an *observers' topology*. With respect to this topology, $\hat{T}$ is a compact F_n-space, and the inclusion $T \to \hat{T}$ is continuous [CHL07]. Isometries of T induce homeomorphisms of $\hat{T}$ (see p.903 of [CHL09]).

There is an explicit description of $\hat{T}$ as follows. Namely, let again $L^2(T)$ be the zero lamination of T. There is an F_n-equivariant continuous map

$$Q : \partial F_n \to \hat{T}$$

[LL03] such that $L^2(T) = \{(\xi, \zeta) \mid Q(\xi) = Q(\zeta)\}$ (Proposition 2.3 of [CHL07]). This map determines an equivariant homeomorphism

$$\partial F_n / L^2(T) \to \hat{T}$$

(Corollary 2.6 of [CHL07]), i.e. the tree $\hat{T}$ is the quotient of ∂F_n by the equivalence relation obtained by identifying all points $\xi, \xi' \in \partial F_n$ with $Q(\xi) = Q(\xi')$, and a pair of points (ξ, ξ') is identified if and only if it is contained in $L^2(T)$.

Define a leaf $\ell \in L^2(T)$ to be *regular* if ℓ is not carried by the stabilizer of a point in $\overline{T}$ and if moreover there exists a sequence $\ell_n \subset L^2(T)$ of leaves converging to ℓ such that the $x_n = Q^2(\ell_n)$ are distinct. The set of regular leaves of $L^2(T)$ is the *regular sublamination* $L_r(T)$. It is F_n-invariant but in general not closed.

Recall from the introduction the definition of the set $\mathcal{FT} \subset \partial\mathrm{CV}(F_n)$ of arational trees. A representative of a tree in the set $\mathcal{FT}$ is indecomposable.

We need a slight extension of a result of [CHR11]. In its formulation, we assume that the action of F_n on an $\mathbb{R}$-tree T is free, but we allow fixed points in the metric completion $\overline{T}$. Thus there may be elliptic elements for the action of F_n on $\overline{T}$. Recall that a minimal subset of an F_n-space is an invariant set with each orbit dense.

Lemma 6.4. *Let $[T] \in \mathcal{FT}$. If the F_n-action on T does not have fixed points then $L_r(T)$ is minimal.*

Proof. Since T is indecomposable, Proposition 5.14 of [CHR11] shows that T is either of *surface type* or of *Levitt type.*

Consider first the case that T is of Levitt type. Since we defined $L_r(T)$ to consist of leaves not carried by a point stabilizer, Lemma 3.6 of [CHR11] (whose proof is valid in the situation at hand) yields that if $L_0 \subset L_r(T)$ is a proper sublamination then every leaf of L_0 is carried by a proper free factor of F_n.

Let H be such a proper free factor which carries a leaf of L_0. Since $[T]$ is indecomposable, by the main result of [R11] the minimal H-invariant subtree T_H of H is simplicial. Then T_H is a very small simplicial H-tree with non-empty zero lamination. As a consequence, there is a nontrivial point stabilizer H_0 for the action of H on T_H. Since $H < F_n$ is a free factor, by [KL09] and Theorem 49 of [Ma95], the measure on $\partial F_n \times \partial F_n - \Delta$ which consists of Dirac masses at conjugates of fixed points of a nontrivial element $g \in H_0$ is a measured lamination μ supported in H_0. By [KL09], this measured lamination satisfies $\langle T, \mu \rangle = 0$. This violates the definition of a arational tree and hence indeed $L_r(T)$ is minimal.

In the case that T is of surface type the same reasoning applies. This time we use Lemma 4.10 of [CHR11] whose proof is also valid in the situation at hand and conclude as before. ☐

Let again $[T] \in \mathcal{FT}$ and let μ be an ergodic measured lamination with support $\mathrm{Supp}(\mu) \subset L^2(T)$. By [KL09], this is equivalent to stating that $\langle T, \mu \rangle = 0$. For any point stabilizer H of $\overline{T}$, a measured lamination supported in H is supported in the zero lamination of T. Thus by Lemma 6.2 and the definition of an arational tree, $\mathrm{Supp}(\mu)$ is not contained in the stabilizer of a point in $\overline{T}$. Then by ergodicity, μ gives full measure to $L_r(T)$.

Define an equivalence relation $\sim_\mu$ on ∂F_n as the smallest equivalence relation on ∂F_n which contains with ξ all points ξ' so that $(\xi, \xi') \in \mathrm{Supp}(\mu)$. Let $\sim$ be the closure of $\sim_\mu$. Note that this adds diagonal leaves to the support of μ. By invariance of $\mathrm{Supp}(\mu)$ under the action of F_n, the quotient $\partial F_n/\sim$ is a compact F_n-space. Note also that the lamination $L^2(T)$ defines an equivalence relation thanks to [CHL07].

Since by Corollary 2.6 of [CHL07] we have $\hat{T} = \partial F_n/L^2(T)$ and since $\mathrm{Supp}(\mu) \subset L^2(T)$, there is a natural F_n-equivariant continuous surjection $G : \partial F_n/\sim \to \hat{T}$. The next lemma shows that if $[T] \in \mathcal{FT}$ then the tree $\hat{T}$ is uniquely determined by $\mathrm{Supp}(\mu)$. It is an immediate consequence of the main result of [R12] (of which I learned after the first version of this paper was posted). We include a proof since it is not very difficult and illustrates some features of the observers' topology.

Lemma 6.5. *If $[T] \in \mathcal{FT}$ then G is a homeomorphism.*

Proof. If the action of F_n on $\overline{T}$ is free then the lemma is an immediate consequence of the main result of [CHR11]. Namely, in this case the lamination $L_r(T)$ is minimal, and $L^2(T)$ is the union of $L_r(T)$ and finitely many F_n-orbits of *diagonal leaves.* Now diagonals of $L_r(T)$ are always contained in the zero lamination of T (see [CHR11] and the above discussion). The closure of the F_n-orbit of a diagonal leaf ℓ is contained in the union of ℓ with $L_r(T)$. An isolated leaf which is not defined by a pair of fixed points of some element of F_n can not be contained in the support of a measured lamination. Thus the support of any measured lamination μ with $\langle T, \mu\rangle = 0$ equals $L_r(T)$, and this is the complement of finitely many F_n-orbits of diagonal leaves in $L^2(T)$. The lemma follows in this case.

Now assume that there is a nontrivial point stabilizer in $\overline{T}$, i.e. that there is a point $x \in \overline{T}$ whose stabilizer H is nontrivial. Recall that H is finitely generated [L94] and hence the boundary ∂H of H embeds into the boundary of F_n. If $\xi \in \partial H$ then $Q(\xi) = x$ where $Q : \partial F_n \to \hat{T}$ is the map introduced above (see [CH10] for a detailed discussion of this fact which is due to Coulbois, Hilion and Lustig).

We claim that x is contained in the closure of $Q^2(\mathrm{Supp}(\mu))$ with respect to the observers' topology. Namely, let $\Omega = Q^2(L^2(T)) \subset \overline{T}$ be the *limit set* of T and let $\xi \in Q^2(\mathrm{Supp}(\mu))$. Here the notation Q^2 refers to applying the map Q to both points which define ξ; the result is a single point in $\overline{T}$. If $\xi = x$ then we are done. Otherwise there is a segment ℓ in $\overline{T}$ connecting x to ξ. This segment defines a direction in $\overline{T}$ at x.

Let $(h_i) \subset H$ be a sequence of pairwise distinct elements. Since $Hx = x$, by invariance of $\mathrm{Supp}(\mu)$ under the action of F_n and equivariance of the map Q, the images of the segment ℓ under the elements $h_i \in H$ defines a sequence of segments connecting x to points in $Q^2(\mathrm{Supp}(\mu))$. Since edge stabilizers of $\overline{T}$ are trivial [GL95], by passing to a subsequence we may assume that the corresponding sequence of directions at x are pairwise distinct. By the definition of the observers' topology, the points $h_i(\xi)$ converge as $i \to \infty$ in $\hat{T}$ to x (compare the discussion on p.903 of [CHL07]). Thus the point x is contained in the closure of the image of the F_n-orbit of ξ for the observers' topology and hence $x = Q(\partial H)$ is contained in the closure of $Q^2(\mathrm{Supp}(\mu))$.

There are now two cases. In the first case, every point $x \in \overline{T}$ with nontrivial point stabilizer is contained in $\overline{T} - T$ and the F_n-action on T is free. Lemma 6.4 and the above discussion then show that the closure of $Q^2(\mathrm{Supp}(\mu))$ for the observers' topology contains the entire limit set $Q^2(L^2(T))$. As a consequence, the closures of $Q^2(\mathrm{Supp}(\mu))$ and $Q^2(L^2(T))$ with respect to the observers' topology coincide (note that the limit set is in general not closed). Now $\partial F_n / \sim$ is a compact F_n-space and hence $\partial F_n / \sim = \hat{T}$ which implies the lemma.

If there exists a fixed point $x \in T$ then by invariance and the fact that T is dense we conclude that the tree T is contained in the closure of $Q^2(\mathrm{Supp}(\mu))$ for the observers' topology. On the other hand, T is the interior of $\hat{T}$ for the observers' topology and therefore the interior of $\partial F_n / \sim$ equals T. However, $\hat{T}$ is uniquely determined by T and hence G is an equivariant homeomorphism as claimed. □

Remark: 1) Lemma 6.2 and Lemma 6.5 illustrate the fact that the observers' topology on the union of the metric completion of T with the Gromov boundary of T is strictly weaker than the topology induced by the metric. We refer to [CHL07] for a detailed discussion.

2) The main result of [R12] gives a much more explicit description of an arational tree. Namely, it states that an arational tree T either is free or dual to a measured lamination of an oriented surface with a single boundary component.

Let $\approx$ be the smallest equivalence relation on $\partial \mathrm{CV}(F_n)$ whose classes contain with every $[T] \in \partial \mathrm{CV}(F_n)$ all projections of $[T]$. Lemma 6.5 is used to show

Corollary 6.6. *Let* $[T] \in \mathcal{FT}$ *and let* μ *be an ergodic measured lamination with* $\langle T, \mu \rangle = 0$. *Then* $[S] \in \partial \mathrm{CV}(F_n)$ *is equivalent to* $[T]$ *if and only if* $[S] \in \mathcal{FT}$ *and* $\langle S, \mu \rangle = 0$.

Proof. Let $[T] \in \mathcal{FT}$ and let $\mu \in \mathcal{ML}$ be an ergodic measured lamination such that $\langle T, \mu \rangle = 0$. By Lemma 6.5, the tree $\hat{T}$ with the observers' topology is determined by $\mathrm{Supp}(\mu)$. This means the following. If $[S]$ is any tree with $\langle S, \mu \rangle = 0$ then there is an equivariant continuous surjection $\hat{T} \to \hat{S}$.

Since the interior of $\hat{T}, \hat{S}$, respectively, is just the tree T, S, Proposition 1.10 of [CHL07] shows that there is an alignment preserving map $T \to S$. In other words, S is a projection of T and hence S is equivalent to T. Using once more the results of [R10] we conclude that $[S] \in \mathcal{FT}$. □

Recall that each conjugacy class of a primitive element $g \in F_n$ determines a measured lamination which is the set of all Dirac masses on the pairs of fixed points of the elements in the class. The measured lamination is called dual to the conjugacy class. Recall also that the intersection form $\langle , \rangle$ on $\overline{cv(F_n)} \times \mathcal{ML}$ is continuous.

We have

Lemma 6.7. *Let* $[T_i] \subset \mathrm{CV}(F_n)$ *be a sequence converging to some* $[T] \in \partial\mathrm{CV}(F_n)$. *For each* i *let* $T_i \in cv_0(F_n)$ *be a representative of* $[T_i]$ *and let* α_i *be a primitive short conjugacy class on* T_i *with dual measured lamination* μ_i. *If* $[T]$ *is dense then up to passing to a subsequence, there is a sequence* $b_i \subset (0,1]$ *such that the measured laminations* $b_i\mu_i$ *converge weakly to a measured lamination* μ *with* $\langle T, \mu\rangle = 0$. *Moreover, either* μ *is dual to a primitive conjugacy class or* $b_i \to 0$.

Proof. Let T be a representative of $[T]$ and let $a_i \in (0,\infty)$ be such that $a_iT_i \to T$ where $T_i \in cv_0(F_n)$. Since the F_n-orbits on T are dense, we have $a_i \to 0$ $(i \to \infty)$.

Fix some tree $S \in cv_0(F_n)$. Then the set

$$\Sigma = \{\zeta \in \mathcal{ML} \mid \langle S, \zeta\rangle = 1\}$$

defines a section of the projection $\mathcal{ML} \to \mathcal{PML}$. In particular, the space Σ is compact.

Let μ_i be the lamination dual to a primitive short conjugacy class α_i on T_i. There is a number $\epsilon > 0$ so that $\langle S, \zeta\rangle \geq \epsilon$ whenever ζ is dual to any primitive conjugacy class. Thus if $b_i > 0$ is such that $b_i\mu_i \in \Sigma$ then the sequence (b_i) is *bounded*. Since Σ is compact, by passing to a subsequence we may assume that $b_i\mu_i \to \mu$ for some measured lamination $\mu \in \Sigma$.

Now $\langle a_iT_i, \mu_i\rangle \leq ka_i$ where $k \geq 2$ is as in Section 2 and hence since $a_i \to 0$ $(i \to \infty)$ and since the sequence (b_i) is bounded, we have

$$\langle a_iT_i, b_i\mu_i\rangle \to 0\,(i \to \infty).$$

The first part of the lemma now follows from continuity of the intersection form. Moreover, either $b_i \to 0$ or the length on S/F_n of the conjugacy classes α_i is uniformly bounded. However, there are only finitely many conjugacy classes of primitive elements which can be represented by a loop on S/F_n of uniformly bounded length. Thus either $b_i \to 0$, or the sequence (α_i) contains only finitely many elements and hence there is some primitive conjugacy class α so that $\alpha_i = \alpha$ for infinitely many i. Then clearly μ is a multiple of the dual of α. □

The next observation is a version of Proposition 6.4 of [K99].

Lemma 6.8. *Let* $([T_i]) \subset \mathrm{CV}(F_n)$ *be a sequence converging in* $\mathrm{CV}(F_n) \cup \partial\mathrm{CV}(F_n)$ *to a point* $[T]$. *If* $[T] \notin \mathcal{FT}$ *then* $\Upsilon(T_i)$ *does not converge to a point in* $\partial\mathcal{FF}$.

Proof. We follow the reasoning in the proof of Proposition 6.4 of [K99]. Let $([T_i])$ be a sequence as in the lemma which converges to a point $[T] \in \partial\mathrm{CV}(F_n) - \mathcal{FT}$.

By Proposition 4.1, Proposition 5.4, Corollary 5.9 and Lemma 6.6, we have $\varphi_\Delta([T]) = \Theta$. More precisely, there is a proper free factor H of F_n so that for any Skora path $(x_t) \subset cv(F_n)$ converging to a representative T of $[T]$ and all large enough t, the point x_t is contained in a uniformly bounded neighborhood of H.

We argue by contradiction and we assume that the sequence $\Upsilon(T_i)$ converges to a point in the Gromov boundary of $\mathcal{FF}$.

For fixed i and for $j > i$ let r_t^{ij} be an unnormalized Skora path connecting a point of covolume one in a simplex Δ_i defined by a basis containing the free factor $\Upsilon(T_i)$ to a tree $\tilde{T}_j \in cv(F_n)$ which can be obtained from T_j by rescaling. Then the trees $\tilde{T}_j$ are contained in the compact set $\Sigma_i \subset \overline{cv(F_n)}$ of all trees which can be reached from a point in the simplex Δ_i by a one-Lipschitz optimal map.

The initial points of the paths r_t^{ij} are contained in a compact subset of $\overline{cv(F_n)}$ and hence up to passing to a subsequence, we may assume that the paths r_t^{ij} converge as $j \to \infty$ locally uniformly in Σ_i to a Skora path $t \to r_t$ issueing from a point r_0 in the simplex Δ_i. By the reasoning in the proof of Lemma 3.1, since $[T_i] \to [T]$ we may assume that the path (r_t) is defined by a train track map $r_0 \to T$ where T is a representative of $[T]$ and hence it connects r_0 to T.

By Lemma 3.5 and Corollary 5.6, for large enough t the free factor $\Upsilon(r_t)$ is contained in a uniformly bounded neighborhood of the free factor H. Fix such a point t_0.

By construction, for large enough j there is a point r_u^{ij} on the Skora path (r_t^{ij}) contained in a compact neigborhood of r_{t_0} which is mapped by Υ to a set of uniformly bounded diameter. This means that for large enough j the path $\Upsilon(r_t^{ij})$ passes through a uniformly bounded neighborhood of the free factor H.

Now the paths $\Upsilon(r_t^{ij})$ are uniform unparametrized quasi-geodesics in $\mathcal{FF}$. As a consequence, if $(|)_H$ is the Gromov product based at $H \in \mathcal{FF}$ then we have $(\Upsilon(T_i) \mid \Upsilon(T_j))_H \leq B$ for infinitely many i, j where $B > 0$ is a universal constant. This is a contradiction to the assumption that $\Upsilon(T_i)$ converges to a point in the Gromov boundary of $\mathcal{FF}$. ☐

Next we have

Lemma 6.9. *If* $[T_i] \subset \mathrm{CV}(F_n)$ *is any sequence which converges to* $[T] \in \mathcal{FT}$ *then* $\Upsilon(T_i)$ *converges to a point in* $\partial\mathcal{FF}$.

Proof. We show first that if $[T_i] \to [T] \in \mathcal{FT}$ then the sequence $\Upsilon(T_i)$ is unbounded. For this we use a variant of an argument of Luo as explained in [MM99].

Namely, let $T_i \in cv_0(F_n)$ be a representative of $[T_i]$. We argue by contradiction and we assume that after passing to a subsequence, the sequence $\Upsilon(T_i)$ remains in a bounded set in $\mathcal{FF}$.

Since by Proposition 2.2 the map Υ is a quasi-isometry for the no-gap metric on $cv_0(F_n)$, after passing to another subsequence we may assume that for all $i \geq 1$ the distance between T_i and T_0 in $(cv_0(F_n), d_{ng})$ equals m for some $m \geq 0$ which does not depend on i.

By the definition of the no-gap metric, this implies that for all $i \geq 1$ there is a sequence $(T_{j,i})_{0 \leq j \leq m} \subset cv_0(F_n)$ with $T_{0,i} = T_0$ and $T_{m,i} = T_i$ so that for all $j < m$ the trees $T_{j,i}$ and $T_{(j+1),i}$ are tied. In particular, for each $j < m$ there is a primitive conjugacy class $\alpha_{j,i}$ which can be represented by a curve of length at most k on both $T_{j,i}$ and $T_{(j+1),i}$ where $k \geq 2$ is as in Section 2.

Let $\mu_{j,i}$ be the measured lamination which is dual to $\alpha_{j,i}$. By assumption, we have $[T_{m,i}] \to [T]$ $(i \to \infty)$ in $\overline{\mathrm{CV}(F_n)}$. Since T is dense, Lemma 6.7 implies that up to passing to a subsequence, there is a bounded sequence (b_i) such that the measured laminations $b_i\mu_{m-1,i}$ converge as $i \to \infty$ to a measured lamination ν_{m-1} supported in the zero lamination of T. Since $[T] \in \mathcal{FT}$, the support of ν_{m-1} does not intersect a free factor and hence $b_i \to 0$ by Lemma 6.7.

For each i let $T_i \in cv_0(F_n)$ be a representative of $[T_i]$. By passing to another subsequence, we may assume that the projective trees $[T_{(m-1),i}]$ converge as $i \to \infty$ to a projective tree $[U_{m-1}]$. We claim that $[U_{m-1}] \in \mathcal{FT}$. Namely, choose a representative U_{m-1} of $[U_{m-1}]$. Since $b_i\mu_{m-1,i} \to \nu_{m-1}$ for a sequence $b_i \to 0$ and since $\langle T_{m-1,i}, \mu_{m-1,i}\rangle \leq k$ for all i, we conclude from continuity of the intersection form that $\langle U_{m-1}, \nu_{m-1}\rangle = 0$. In particular, ν_{m-1} is supported in the zero lamination of U_{m-1}. Lemma 6.6 now shows that $[U_{m-1}] \in \mathcal{FT}$. Moreover, there is a subsequence of the sequence $[\mu_{m-2,i}]$ which converges as $i \to \infty$ to a measured lamination supported in the zero lamination of $[U_{m-1}]$.

Repeat this argument with the sequence $[T_{(m-2),i}]$ and the tree $[U_{m-1}]$. After m steps we conclude that $[T_0] \in \mathcal{FT}$ which is impossible.

We are left with showing that the unbounded sequence $\Upsilon(T_i)$ converges to a point in $\partial\mathcal{FF}$. To this end assume to the contrary that the sequence $\Upsilon(T_i)$ does not converge to a point in $\partial\mathcal{FF}$. Then we can find subsequences $(x_j), (y_j)$ of the sequence (T_i) so that $x_j = T_{u(j)}$, $y_j = T_{v(j)}$ with $u(j) \to \infty, v(j) \to \infty$ as $j \to \infty$ and such that for all j a Skora path r^j connecting a point in the simplex of x_j to y_j passes in the no gap metric through a uniformly bounded neighborhood of a base tree T_0. Let p_j be a point on this path which has this property.

Now if the points on the paths r^j are normalized in such a way that the Lipschitz constant of an optimal map from the fixed tree T_0 to the points on r^j equals one and if α_j is a primitive short loop on p_j then using again continuity of the intersection form and compactness, up to passing to a subsequence the projective measured laminations dual to α_j converge to a projective measured lamination μ with $\langle T, \mu\rangle = 0$. By the first part of this proof, this implies that the sequence $\Upsilon(p_j)$ is unbounded, a contradiction. □

As an immediate consequence of Lemma 6.9 we obtain the analog of Proposition 6.2 of [K99]. In the statement of the corollary, the set $\mathcal{FT}$ is equipped with the topology as a subspace of $\partial\mathrm{CV}(F_n)$.

Corollary 6.10. *The identity* $\mathrm{CV}(F_n) \to (\mathrm{CV}(F_n), d_{ng})$ *extends to an* $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$*-equivariant continuous surjective map* $Y : \mathcal{FT} \to \partial\mathcal{FF}$*.*

Proof. By Lemma 6.8, Lemma 6.9 and compactness of $\overline{\mathrm{CV}(F_n)}$, there is a surjective map which associates to a tree $[T] \in \mathcal{FT}$ a point in $\partial\mathcal{FF}$.

To show that this map is continuous, note that if $T_i \to T \in \mathcal{FT}$ then there is a sequence (r_t^i) of Skora paths starting at a point in a standard simplex Δ so that $r_t^i \to [T_i]$ $(t \to \infty)$ and $r_i \to r$ locally uniformly where r is a Skora path connecting Δ to $[T]$. From this, hyperbolicity of $\mathcal{FF}$ and coarse Lipschitz continuity of Υ, continuity follows, and $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$-equivariance is immediate from the construction. $\square$

We are left with describing the fibres of the map Y and the Gromov topology on $\partial\mathcal{FF}$. To this end note first that the set of all trees $[S] \in \mathcal{FT}$ which are equivalent to a given tree $[T] \in \mathcal{FT}$ is a closed subset of $\mathcal{FT}$ which is homeomorphic to the simplex of projective length measures on $[T]$. As a consequence, the equivalence relation $\sim$ on $\mathcal{FT}$ defined above is closed, and the map Y factors through a continuous bijection $\Lambda : \mathcal{FT}/\sim \to \partial\mathcal{FF}$ where $\mathcal{FT}/\sim$ is equipped with the quotient topology.

Thus it now suffices to show the following. If $[T_i] \subset \mathcal{FT}$ is any sequence and if $\Lambda([T_i]) \to \Lambda([T])$ then up to passing to a subsequence, we have $[T_i] \to [U]$ where $[U]$ is equivalent to $[T]$.

Assume to the contrary that this is not the case. By compactness of $\overline{\mathrm{CV}(F_n)}$ there is then a sequence $[T_i] \subset \mathcal{FT}$ so that $Y[T_i] \to Y[T]$ and such that $[T_i] \to [S] \in \partial\mathrm{CV}(F_n)$ where either $[S] \notin \mathcal{FT}$ or $Y[S] \neq Y[T]$.

Now if $[S] \in \mathcal{FT}$ then by Corollary 6.10, $Y[S] = \lim_{i\to\infty} Y([T_i])$. Since we assumed that $Y([S]) \neq Y([T])$ this is impossible. Thus $[S] \notin \mathcal{FT}$. But this violates Lemma 6.8 and completes the proof of the theorem from the introduction.

We note an easy consequence which will be useful in other context. For its formulation, following [H09] we call a pair $(\mu,\nu) \in \mathcal{ML}\times\mathcal{ML}$ *positive* if for every tree $T \in \overline{cv(F_n)}$ we have $\langle T,\mu\rangle + \langle T,\nu\rangle > 0$.

Corollary 6.11. *Let $\mu,\nu \in \mathcal{ML}$ be supported in the zero lamination of trees $[T],[S] \in \mathcal{FT}$ which determine different points in $\partial\mathcal{FF}$. Then (μ,ν) is a positive pair.*

In [H09] we defined an F_n-invariant set $\mathcal{UT}$ of projective trees in $\partial\mathrm{CV}(\mathrm{F_n})$ as follows. If $[T] \in \mathcal{UT}$ and if $\mu \in \mathcal{ML}$ is such that $\langle T,\mu\rangle = 0$ then the projective class of μ is unique, and $[T]$ is the unique projective tree with $\langle T,\mu\rangle = 0$. It follows immediately from this work that $\mathcal{UT} \subset \mathcal{FT}$ (see also [R12]).

Define the ϵ-thick part $cv_0(F_n)_\epsilon$ of $cv_0(F_n)$ to consist of simplicial trees with quotient of volume one which do not admit any essential loop of length smaller than ϵ. In analogy to properties of the curve graph and Teichmüller space, we conjecture that whenever (r_t) is a normalized Skora path in $cv_0(F_n)$ with the property that $r_{t_i} \in cv_0(F_n)_\epsilon$ for a sequence $t_i \to \infty$ and some fixed number $\epsilon > 0$ then $\Upsilon(r_t)$ converges as $t \to \infty$ to a point in $\partial\mathcal{FF}$ defined by a tree $[T] \in \mathcal{UT}$.

REFERENCES

[BK10] Y. Berchenko-Kogan, *Distance in the ellipticity graph*, arXiv:1006.4853.

[BF92] M. Bestvina, M. Feighn, *Outer limits*, unpublished preprint 1992.

[BF11] M. Bestvina, M. Feighn, *Hyperbolicity of the free factor complex*, arXiv:1107.3308.

[BR12] M. Bestvina, P. Reynolds, *The Gromov boundary of the complex of free factors*, arXiv:1211.3608.

[CL95] M. Cohen, M. Lustig, *Very small group actions on $\mathbb{R}$-trees and Dehn twist automorphisms*, Topology 34 (1995), 575–617.

[CH10] T. Coulbois, A. Hilion, *Rips induction: Index of the dual lamination of an $\mathbb{R}$-tree*, arXiv:1002.0972.

[CHL07] T. Coulbois, A. Hilion, M. Lustig, *Non-unique ergodicity, observer's topology and the dual algebraic lamination for $\mathbb{R}$-trees*, Illinois J. Math. 51 (2007), 897–911.

[CHL08] T. Coulbois, A. Hilion, M. Lustig, *$\mathbb{R}$-trees and laminations for free groups II: the dual lamination of an $\mathbb{R}$-tree*, J. London Math. Soc. 78 (2008), 737–754.

[CHL09] T. Coulbois, A. Hilion, M. Lustig, *Trees, dual laminations and compact systems of partial isometries*, Math. Proc. of the Cambridge Phil. Society 147 (2009), 345–368.

[CHR11] T. Coulbois, A. Hilion, P. Reynolds, *Indecomposable F_n-trees and minimal laminations*, arXiv:1110.3506.

[FM11] S. Francaviglia, A. Martino, *Metric properties of Outer space*, Publ. Mat. 55 (2011), 433–473.

[GL95] D. Gaboriau, G. Levitt, *The rank of actions on $\mathbb{R}$-trees*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. 28 (1995), 549–570.

[G00] V. Guirardel, *Dynamics of $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$ on the boundary of outer space*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. 33 (2000), 433–465.

[G05] V. Guirardel, *Coeur et nombre d'intersection pour les actions de groupes sur les arbres*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. 38 (2005), 847–888.

[G08] V. Guirardel, *Actions of finitely generated groups on $\mathbb{R}$-trees*, Ann Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 58 (2008), 159–211.

[H09] U. Hamenstädt, *Lines of minima in Outer space*, arXiv:0911.3620.

[HM11] M. Handel, L. Mosher, *Axes in outer space*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 213 (2011), no. 1004.

[KL07] I. Kapovich, M. Lustig, *The actions of $\mathrm{Out}(F_n)$ on the boundary of Outer space and on the space of currents: minimal sets and equivariant incompatibility*, Ergod. Th. & Dyn. Sys. 27 (2007), 827–847.

[KL09] I. Kapovich, M. Lustig, *Geometric intersection number and analogues of the curve complex for free groups*, Geom. & Top. 13 (2009), 1805–1833.

[K99] E. Klarreich, *The boundary at infinity of the curve complex and the relative Teichmüller space*, unpublished manuscript, 1999.

[L94] G. Levitt, *Graphs of actions on $\mathbb{R}$-trees*, Comm. Math. Helv. 69 (1994), 28–38.

[LL03] G. Levitt, M. Lustig, *Irreducible automorphisms of F_n have north-south dynamics on compactified outer space*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu 2 (2003), 59–72.

[Ma95] R. Martin, *Non-uniquely ergodic foliations of thin type, measured currents and automorphisms of free groups*, Dissertation, Los Angeles 1995.

[MM99] H. Masur, Y. Minsky, *Geometry of the curve graph: Hyperbolicity*, Invent. Math. 138 (1999), 103–149.

[P88] F. Paulin, *Topologie de Gromov equivariante, structures hyperboliques et arbres reels*, Invent. Math. 94 (1988), 53–80.

[P89] F. Paulin, *The Gromov topology on $\mathbb{R}$-trees*, Top. & Appl. 32 (1989), 197–221.

[R11] P. Reynolds, *On indecomposable trees in the boundary of outer space*, Geom. Dedicata 153 (2011), 59–71.

[R10] P. Reynolds, *Dynamics of irreducible endomorphisms of F_n*, arXiv:1008.3659.

[R12] P. Reynolds, *Reducing systems for very small trees*, arXiv:1211.3378.

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT DER UNIVERSITÄT BONN
ENDENICHER ALLEE 60
53115 BONN
GERMANY